

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Mr Andrew Higson		Support	I fully support the DANP as published and, in particular, the objective of maintaining a small rural village location for local people; especially those families that live and work locally and local key workers. It is important that any social housing is appropriate and spaciouly located in the the style defined by all extant Down Ampney Design documents. For any future additional development the adequacy of surface and foul drainage must be fully assured before occupancy is commenced AND measures taken to reduce average road speeds within a 20 mph limit. Maintenance of the village green spaces and vistas must also be assured to avoid any further encroachment on the beauty and visual amenity of the village; especially at its centre. Andrew Higson MSc C.Eng C.Mar. Eng. MIMarEST
Mrs Ann Higson		Support	<p>I support this plan in full but would offer the following comment:</p> <p>With regard to the provision of additional footpaths and cycle, reference Policy C02, it would be useful to illustrate primary examples of these. This should include the need to ensure better connection of footpaths and cycle paths with adjacent parishes in order to improve the circularity of routes available ( including for traffic safety reasons) . A good example to include would be, the provision of footpaths/ footway &amp; cycleway at both ends of the village, in particular, a) from the Poulton Road junction of Down Ampney Road to a point east concluding at the Marston Meysey turning beyond the dangerous bends bounding Rooktree Farm and b) from the junction of Church Lane with the Down Ampney Road, west along Down Ampney Road and the dangerous bends and bridges to a point where the bridleway crosses North-South, from Manor Farm to Latton (both in Wiltshire). Item b) would offer the additional benefit of reducing the extreme danger that the "blind-bend" nature of Down Ampney Brook bridge presents to pedestrians and cyclist alike if a dedicated footbridge linked the footways.</p>
Mr Miles Banks		Oppose	I emphatically oppose the development of Dukes Field!
Mr Nigel Head		Support	Well considered plan.
Mrs Elizabeth Bellamy		Support	Excellent plan and proposals

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mr David Reinger		Support	I would fully support the DANP, which is an extremely comprehensive and well researched document. In particular I support all of the objectives, policies and recommendations listed in summary 8 contained in pages 53, 54,55 & 56. I would comment specifically that objective L.02. is extremely important and the open nature of the centre of the village played a big part in our selection of it as place to live. It follows that I would fully support policy LP2 page 19 in order to preserve the essential character of Down Ampney by the proposed local green space designations. I would also wish to specifically support policy IP2. Thames Water's illegal dumping of sewage into the Ampney Brook , 2316 hours in 2020, is disgraceful. My understanding is that planned increase in capacity at Ampney St Peter may not be completed until the end of 2025 and is still in the design stage. It is not at all clear whether the planned upgrade will deal with the pollution issues, which in the meantime will continue particularly when the water table is high. Given the works is currently serving a population equivalent of twice its current capacity it is difficult to believe that planning officers will continue to grant permissions in Down Ampney that compound and exacerbate this continued pollution by Thames Water. Policy IP2 is an essential requirement and should be adopted by Cotswold District Council with immediate effect. Policies IP1 & IP3 are also specifically supported. In conclusion, I would hope that recommendation HR1, page 56 is fully considered and implemented by all parties involved in this process.
Mr Michael Bruce		Support	Particular support for policies LP2, HP2 and HP5. In addition, support Para 2.3 of Appendix 1.
Mrs Julia Campbell		Support	I particularly support policies LP2, HP2 and HP5. At Paragraph 2.3 I oppose any further development, but should it happen, it must conform with the Down Ampney Design Guide.
Mr Christian Campbell		Support	I particularly support policies LP2, HP2 and HP5. At Paragraph 2.3 I oppose any further development, but should it happen, it must conform with the Down Ampney Design Guide.
Mr Jonathan Campbell	N/A	Support	I wish to emphasise full support for all proposed aspects in the DA NP. In particular LP2, HP2 and HP5. In respect of Paragraph 2.3 of Appendix 1 – the Down Ampney Design Guide. Whilst I am against block development above 2 residences, I strongly wish that all new development and modifications to existing structures conform to the village design statement, which properly reflects the historical context of DA.

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mr Andrew Scarth

Support I fully support all the Policies and Recommendations within the Plan. In particular I highlight the following:  
Down Ampney is a rural village with its roots in agriculture. Fields do penetrate into the heart of the village. To maintain and protect this character, Green Space within and close to the village must be designated. I fully support Policies LP1 and LP2.  
Some recent developments, for example, Linden Lea, have no public green areas incorporated. In addition to Green Space, any new development must incorporate green infrastructure and the housing density must not be more than the average existing village density. Consequently, I support Policies HP5 and HP2 and back the sentiments of paragraph 2.3 in Appendix 1 – the Down Ampney Design Guide.  
Infrastructure has not kept pace with developments within the village.  
a) Localised flooding is a problem in several areas and is likely to increase with the increasing storminess associated with climate change. Following Policies IP1 and IP3 is vital in mitigating this risk. Recommendation IR3 is also important.  
b) The sewerage system is becoming overloaded not just within the village but in the area sewage treatment works at Ampney St Peter. I fully support the provisions in Policy IP2.  
c) Speeding of vehicles within the village is a problem, not just near the school but also around the bend from Honeysuckle Cottage to Lark's Hey at the eastern end and at the western end from The Red House around the bend to the entry into the Old Estate Yard. Enforcement and traffic calming measures are needed. I endorse Recommendation IR1.

Mrs Christine Bruce

Support Specifically support LP2, HP2 and HP5.  
Also Appendix1, para 2.3

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mr Geoff Tappern

Support General support for all policies but especially:-  
3.6. Green space is very important as the village increases in the number of dwellings. As developments have been added to the village, e.g. Linden Lea, Broadlease there have been no green space areas incorporated that can be used by residents. Fully support LP1 and LP2. Areas proposed are a minimum required.  
4.1. Speeding in the village is a problem but is not just in the centre. I cannot understand why the police with a radar gun cannot visit the village say once a month for 30 minutes in random areas.  
4.3. Local flooding of fields and roads is a problem during heavy rain. Ditches must be maintained better than they are at the moment.  
4.4. Sewage problems are only going to get worse as more and more developments are added to the village. Fully support IP1, IP2, and IP3.  
4.7. Fully support recommendations IR1 to IR3.  
5.4. Fully support CO1, CO2 & 5R1.  
6.3. Fully support EO1, EO2,  
6.4. Fully support ER1, & ER2.  
7.7. The constraints on development listed in 7.7 is well known and until solved any further development must be very limited. Fully support HP1 to HP5 and HR1.  
8.3. Fully support HR1.  
Appendix 1. Fully support and encourage the use of Down Ampney Design Guide.  
Annexe C. Fully support the work done so far on surface water drainage. Must be continued to the boundaries of the parish.

Mr Peter Armitage

Support The Neighbourhood Plan is clearly a comprehensive and well thought out document. As can be seen from the above tick box I support this plan.  
I have the following comments  
1. I agree with both Policies LP1 and LP2 with regards to Identified Key Vistas and Local Green Spaces  
2. Section 4 on Infrastructure identifies several key areas. Speed through the village has always been a problem and I would support the use of speed cameras. Pedestrian safety is paramount and my view is that the state of the pavements is inadequate. Public Transport should be available as an alternative to car usage and linked to affordable housing. Surface water drainage in the village is inadequate especially Millennium Field which regularly accumulates surface water. The Foul drainage is appalling. I fully support policies IP1, IP2 and IP3,  
3. As to section 5 Community and Leisure, the Current Community and Leisure facilities need to be supported as do the footpaths and cycle ways.  
4. I am unsure whether EO1 policy would enhance the village.  
5. If the village is to remain a " rural village" the population growth should be more akin to the Gloucestershire and English average than the 3.4% seen in the last 20 years.

Mrs Fiona Jesson

Support It is an excellent document - thank you to all that have worked so hard on it's development. I confirm that I support the principles and objectives therein.

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Miss Emily Campbell		Support
Mr John Allan		Support I strongly support the proposals documented within this draft plan and how they will benefit the long term evolution of the village whilst also maintaining its character as a pleasant rural community.  In particular I very much welcome the concept of identifying and protecting green spaces within the village (in policies LP1 & 2) to ensure the 'open feel' is maintained and natural spaces are available to enhance the wellbeing of the inhabitants. Open green spaces in numbers 1 & 2, and open accessible woodland in numbers 3a & b. I am particularly concerned that area 1 in the centre of the village could be lost as it falls within the current settlement boundary.  Additionally regarding footpaths, I would like to see a specific recommendation about new circular walks being established around the airfield after the planned gravel extraction is completed – perhaps added to the example in appendix 3. This is proposed in the airfield quarry planning application (drawing no. 21_0032_CWMAJM_PLN_215_V1.0) and it might be nice to “lock it in” the DA plan.
MS Helen Wright		Support
Mr Paul Norris	Finance / Insurance sector	Support I am broadly supportive of the village plan and thank everyone across the village for all their effort and hard work in bringing what is a challenging document together. I believe there is a carefully struck balance between retaining the heart of the village and its current values and culture with the need to support a growing population and changing demographic as we move through the 21st century. Thanks. Paul
mr peter baillie		Support DA is a very linear village, not being designed around any central 'focus' area, and as it grows, it could become very urbanised without retaining green spaces. I therefore strongly support policies LP1 & LP2 I support the need for more public transport (101b) to encourage people out of cars, Drainage is clearly unsatisfactory, judging by the flooding and pumping that occurs, so I support objectives IP1, IP2 & IP3. I accept the need for DA to include a share of new housing demand, but it must be appropriately sited and designed, and to meet local needs. I support policies HP1 to HP5.

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mrs Karen Dangerfield

Support Having read the Development Plan at some length, I support the following:  
Policy LP1 and LP2  
4.1 roads  
4.2 Public transport  
4.3 Surface water drainage  
4.4 Foul drainage and support policies IP1, IP2 & IP3  
5.4 Footpaths and cycle routes and support CO1 & CO2  
7.3.2 Population growth  
7.4 Housing density  
7.6 Housing needs  
7.7 Constraints on development and support policies HP1 to HP5  
May I say this is a very comprehensive and well thought out plan which hopefully will safeguard and guide our village and its future

Mr John Dangerfield

Support I support the following:  
Policy LP1 and LP2  
4.1 roads  
4.2 Public transport  
4.3 Surface water drainage  
4.4 Foul drainage and support policies IP1, IP2 & IP3  
5.4 Footpaths and cycle routes and support CO1 & CO2  
7.3.2 Population growth  
7.4 Housing density  
7.6 Housing needs  
7.7 Constraints on development and support policies HP1 to HP5  
A lot of hours and work has gone into this plan - well done

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mrs Sally Scarth

Support I am in complete agreement with all the Policies and Recommendations contained in this important Plan. In particular, I highlight the following areas.

Down Ampney is a small village with a long history of rural connections. Agricultural fields surround and in some notable areas come into the village. To maintain and protect this character, Green Space within and close to the village must be designated. I fully support Policies LP1 and LP2.

To protect the open aspects and rural ambience of the village, to allow public access, and to maintain wildlife corridors any new development must incorporate green infrastructure. A small village has a much lower density than a town or urban setting. I support Policies HP5 and HP2 and back the ideas of paragraph 2.3 in Appendix 1 – the Down Ampney Design Guide.

The many housing developments within the village over the last twenty years have outstripped improvements in the infrastructure.

The Environment Select Committee has just reported on the poor state of the UK's rivers. Ampney Brook, an important feature close to the village, is no exception. It is essential that Policy IP2 is followed to prevent these problems getting worse with more development.

There are a few areas within the village where some flooding has occurred. With climate change this is very likely to increase. Policies IP1 and IP3 are vital in mitigating this risk.

Traffic volume and particularly speed is a real problem through the village. This is not just the main road past the school but at either end and into the village from Poulton. Traffic calming measures and effective enforcement are badly needed. I endorse Recommendation IR1.

Mr and Mrs Ian and Elaine Eddy

Support We support Policy LP1LP2  
We support Policy IP1 IP2 and IP3  
We would prefer that apart from the housing development already approved no further development takes place in the village.If further houses are built we support HP1 HP2 HP3 HP4 and HP5  
we support IR1 IR2 and IR3.

Mrs Anna Tappern

Support Green open spaces and buffer zones for walking and wildlife are very important. I fully support LP1 & LP2. There have been several periods of flooding in the Broadway Farm field, and many other areas in the village. Surface water ditches must be properly maintained. Sewage discharges into Ampney Brook must stop. CDC must stop giving building permission until this issue has been solved.  
Down Ampney has taken more than its fair share of affordable housing.

**Down Ampney Neighbourhood Development Plan  
Regulation 14 Consultation Comments**

Mr Antony Matthews	Down Ampney Parish Council	Support	I commend those who have contributed to this thorough plan and I give it my wholehearted approval. In summary, I give particular endorsement to the Design Guide Appendix 1 para 2.3 related to housing density and the following policies: LP1 and LP2 on Green Spaces; IP1, IP2 and IP3 on surface water and drainage; objectives CO1 and CO2; the development constraints and associated policies HP1, HP2, HP3, HP4 and HP5.
Ms Elaine Cooper		Support	
Mr Clive Stocken		Support	
Mrs Deborah Chesworth		Support	I particularly support the policies where the residents comments made at meetings and via questionnaires have been supported and in particular the local green spaces being retained
Mr Malcolm Bevan		Support	In my opinion the village has been developed to its maximum capacity.
Mr R G Jenkins		Support	
Mrs Anne Jenkins		Support	Total support for the recommendations put forward in the draft NDP
Mr Nigel Musgrove		Support	